

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –106)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,669 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is
pending at this time, the
stay is lifted.

Aug 15, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



John W. Nichols
Clerk of the Panel

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-106 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALABAMA MIDDLE			
ALM	2	19-00544	Covington County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
IDAHO			
ID	1	19-00286	State of Idaho v. Endo Health Solutions Inc. et al
ID	4	19-00294	Bingham County v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	19-11771	City of Slidell v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 8/8/19
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	19-01995	City of North St. Paul, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NEW JERSEY			
NJ	2	19-15809	PASSAIC COUNTY, NEW JERSEY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al
OKLAHOMA WESTERN			
OKW	5	19-00703	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 8/14/19
OKW	5	19-00710	Board of County Commissioners of Caddo County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 8/14/19
OKW	5	19-00711	Shawnee City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 8/14/19
SOUTH CAROLINA			
SC	2	19-02099	Charleston County, South Carolina v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
TEXAS SOUTHERN			

TXS	4	19-02815	County of Kleberg v. CVS Health Corporation	Opposed 8/13/19
TXS	4	19-02816	County of Jim Hogg v. CVS Health Corporation	Opposed 8/13/19